

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,260	TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. G. Coon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Coon agreed to an Examiner's Amendment, as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to correct the wording on page 16 of the specification, where in the filed copy, a section of the text is blocked out by a note. The correct terminology that was intended to be used in this section was confirmed by checking the text of paragraph [0034] of WO 2005/02588, which claims priority to this application. Paragraph [0034] otherwise has identical text to that of the paragraph on page 16 which is to be corrected..